Email 26 of 35

Objection+to+an+urgent+Crown+Development+Order+for+MOD+Bicester+Site+A

Source file	Objection+to+an+urgent+Crown+Development+Order+for+MOD+Bicester+Site+A (10).msg
From	[redacted]
To	Ministerial Correspondence
Cc	calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk

[You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

Dear Secretary of State,
I am writing as a local resident to formally and strongly object to the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester (Launton Road), and to oppose the use of the urgent planning process. It is entirely unreasonable for the government to consider this site internally for nine months—having first approached the MOD in November 2025—and then bypass standard planning procedures to give local residents only a matter of days to respond. Ministers would never allow a project of this scale, handled with such a complete lack of transparency, to be forced into their own local areas.
The site chosen is completely unsuitable for this volume of people due to a total lack of basic infrastructure:
No Pedestrian Access: There are no footpaths or street lighting leading from the site, making it highly unsafe for anyone attempting to walk into the surrounding areas.
No Public Transport: The site is not served by a local bus service, completely isolating a population of 1,250 individuals.
Because of this isolation, there is intense concern about the impact on Bicester town centre. Bicester is already struggling, and introducing a massive population of unvetted, single adult males will lead to large groups congregating in the town. This will inevitably make the town centre feel like a "no-go area" for local families, elderly residents, and young children.
As a lifelong worker who is five years away from retirement, I have contributed to this country all my life and expect to feel safe in my own community. We have absolutely no verification of who these individuals truly are, their backgrounds, or their histories. Forcing a community to live with the anxiety of an unvetted, transient population of this size on our doorstep is entirely unfair and unsafe.
I urge you to halt this process immediately, withdraw consent for the urgent planning route, and listen to the valid fears of the community who will actually have to live with the consequences of this decision.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]